1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 4 HON. KEITH L. SCHWARTZ, JUDGE DEPARTMENT W30 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 6 7 PLAINTIFF, VS. 8 NO. SA100560 01 JAMES MASON HEAPS, 9 10 DEFENDANT. 11 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 MONDAY, JUNE 10, 2019 15 16 17 APPEARANCES: FOR THE PEOPLE: 18 DANETTE E. MEYERS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 19 20 FOR THE DEFENDANT: TRACY GREEN PRIVATELY RETAINED COUNSEL 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 MARCY KNOBEL OFFICIAL REPORTER CSR NO. 11234 28



1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JUNE 10, 2019
2	10:55 A.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE COURT WILL CALL THE
6	CASE OF IS THIS A WARRANT SITUATION?
7	MS. GREEN: YES, IT IS. IT IS A WALK-IN
8	SITUATION, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. JAMES MASON HEAPS, SA100560.
10	ALL RIGHT. HE IS PRESENT IN COURT WITH PRIVATE COUNSEL.
11	YOU WANT TO STATE YOUR APPEARANCE, PLEASE.
12	MS. GREEN: YES. TRACY GREEN FOR JAMES HEAPS, WHO
13	IS PRESENT IN COURT.
14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED
15	BY MS. MEYERS, D.A.'S OFFICE.
16	IF THERE IS A BAIL ISSUE, WE WILL GET TO
17	THAT IN A SECOND. BENCH WARRANT IS RECALLED AND QUASHED.
18	SIR, IS THAT YOUR TRUE NAME, JAMES MASON
19	HEAPS?
20	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
21	THE COURT: COUNSEL, WAIVE FURTHER READING OF THE
22	COMPLAINT, STATEMENT OF RIGHTS?
23	MS. GREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: NOT GUILTY PLEA IS ENTERED. DENIAL OF
25	ALL PRIORS AND SPECIAL ALLEGATIONS ARE NOTED.
26	DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD ON BAIL, OR DID YOU
27	GUYS WORK SOMETHING OUT? MS. MEYERS?
28	MS. MEYERS: THE STATUTORY BAIL, YOUR HONOR.

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT HAPPENED HERE?
2	MS. MEYERS: WHAT HAPPENED IS THE DEFENDANT WAS A
3	DOCTOR AT U.C.L.A., YOUR HONOR. AND TWO VICTIMS IN THE
4	REPORT
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHERE DID HE WORK EXACTLY?
6	MS. GREEN: U.C.L.A., YOUR HONOR.
7	MS. MEYERS: U.C.L.A.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST FOR THE RECORD, MY
9	WIFE IS A PHYSICIAN. SHE WORKS AT HARBOR U.C.L.A. SHE
10	IS A CLINICAL PROFESSOR THERE. SHE WORKS TEACHING THE
11	RESIDENTS SURGERY. SHE IS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE, BUT SHE
12	TEACHES EVERY OTHER THE FIRST I DON'T KNOW
13	SECOND MONDAY OF THE MONTH, WHATEVER IT IS, THROUGH THE
14	YEAR THERE, TEACHES. SHE HAS BEEN DOING IT FOR 35 YEARS.
15	TO MY KNOWLEDGE, I HAVE NO IDEA WHO THIS
16	PERSON IS. SHE DOESN'T SHE WENT TO U.C.L.A. SHE
17	TRAINED AT U.C.L.A. BUT SHE HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE
18	MAIN CAMPUS AT U.C.L.A.
19	DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION THAT I HANDLE
20	THIS CASE?
21	MS. GREEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS WAS A
22	ROUTINE MEDICAL EXAM.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. THE PEOPLE HAVE ANY OBJECTION?
24	MS. MEYERS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: OKAY.
26	MS. MEYERS: JUST FOR THE RECORD, IT IS THE 100
27	BUILDING OVER AT U.C.L.A.
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT I JUST DO THAT JUST IN

1 CASE. YOU GUYS KNOW ME, KNOW MY WIFE IS A DOCTOR. 2 PRIVATE COUNSEL DOESN'T. SO I ALWAYS LIKE TO THROW THAT 3 IN. WELL, NOT LIKE TO THROW IT IN, BUT I PUT IT IN IN CASE THERE IS AN ISSUE LATER. 4 5 ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, PLEASE. WHAT 6 HAPPENED? 7 MS. MEYERS: SO, YOUR HONOR, TWO SEPARATE VICTIMS 8 WHO DON'T KNOW EACH OTHER -- THEY WENT IN FOR 9 EXAMINATIONS. AND VICTIM NUMBER ONE -- DURING THE COURSE 10 AND SCOPE OF THE EXAMINATION, THE DEFENDANT DID 11 INAPPROPRIATE THINGS TO THAT VICTIM. IN ONE OF THE 12 VICTIMS, HE INSERTED HIS FINGERS PLACES WHERE THEY 13 SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN INSERTED. THE SECOND VICTIM, SAME 14 THING, YOUR HONOR, TOUCHING OF THE BREASTS AND DOING 15 OTHER THINGS. 16 IT WAS REPORTED TO U.C.L.A. U.C.L.A. DID A FACT-FINDING AND FOUND THAT THE PROCEDURES THAT THE 17 18 DOCTOR USED ON BOTH VICTIMS WERE INAPPROPRIATE AND 19 TERMINATED HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH THE UNIVERSITY. 20 I SHOULD TELL THE COURT THERE IS A CIVIL 21 SUIT PENDING THAT -- AND I HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE 22 LAWYER FOR MUNGER, TOLLES. MUNGER, TOLLES AND U.C.L.A. 23 HAVE SETTLED WITH ONE OF THE VICTIMS. THE OTHER, THEY 24 HAVE NOT SETTLED WITH. I BROUGHT THAT TO COUNSEL'S 25 ATTENTION. 26 THE COURT: HAS HIS LICENSE BEEN TAKEN AWAY BY THE

MS. MEYERS: THEY ARE WORKING ON THAT, YOUR HONOR.

27

28

MEDICAL BOARD?

1 THE COURT: SO HE STILL CAN PRACTICE? 2 MS. GREEN: YOUR HONOR, HE IS CURRENTLY RETIRED. 3 HE ISN'T PRACTICING BUT --4 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF A DOCTOR WAS HE? 5 MS. GREEN: GYNECOLOGICAL ONCOLOGIST. SO THE 6 EXAMS THAT WERE DONE WERE PART OF THE MEDICAL PRACTICE. 7 AND WITH RESPECT TO THE INVESTIGATION BY U.C.L.A., HIS 8 CONTRACT WASN'T RENEWED. THEY DID THEIR OWN 9 INVESTIGATION ON A TITLE IX AND THEN FORWARDED IT TO THE 10 MEDICAL BOARD. AND HE HAD NO INPUT AT THE TIME BECAUSE 11 HE HAD ALREADY LEFT U.C.L.A. 12 THE COURT: SO THERE IS NO STING SITUATION WHERE 13 CONSUMER AFFAIRS SENT A FEMALE UNDERCOVER OFFICER THERE? 14 MS. GREEN: NOT AT ALL. 15 MS. MEYERS: NO. 16 THE COURT: SO THIS IS -- OKAY. 17 MS. MEYERS: BUT I SHOULD ALSO INDICATE THAT THERE 18 IS ANOTHER VICTIM THAT THEY ARE INVESTIGATING THAT -- AND IT HAPPENED PRIOR TO THESE TWO VICTIMS. 19 20 I SHOULD ALSO INDICATE THAT NORMALLY -- AND 21 I HAVE HANDLED A NUMBER OF THESE CASES. AND NORMALLY 22 WHAT HAPPENS IS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IS THEN BROUGHT IN 23 TO REMOVE, TO TAKE THE LICENSE AWAY FROM THE DEFENDANT IN 24 CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONSUMER BOARD. SO THAT WILL HAPPEN 25 SOMETIME IN THE PROCESS. 26 THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU A COUPLE OF OUESTIONS. 27 NUMBER ONE, WHY WAS THIS CASE FILED TWO 28 YEARS AFTER THE FACT?

MS. MEYERS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THEY JUST BROUGHT
THIS CASE IN. CONSUMER AFFAIRS NORMALLY -- AND IT IS NOT
UNUSUAL. THEY TAKE A VERY LENGTHY TIME, THE DEPARTMENT
OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, DOING THEIR INVESTIGATION. AND THEY
DID DO A LENGTHY INVESTIGATION IN THIS CASE.

THE VICTIMS IN THE CASE DID NOT GO TO -THEY REPORTED IT TO U.C.L.A. U.C.L.A. THEN TOLD THEM TO
GO TO THE POLICE. BUT THEY WERE NOT ADVISED THAT
U.C.L.A. HAD ITS OWN SEPARATE POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND,
SO, THEY DIDN'T REPORT IT TO THE POLICE. THEY THEN WENT
TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS. AND THAT IS HOW
IT HAPPENED.

MS. GREEN: IT WAS REPORTED, I THINK, EVEN TO

U.C.L.A., YOUR HONOR. IT WAS REPORTED MANY MONTHS AFTER

THE FACT. AND IT WAS --

THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU THIS. DO YOU KNOW OR DOES ANYBODY KNOW DOES U.C.L.A. HAVE A POLICY THAT THERE HAS TO BE A NURSE IN THE OFFICE?

MS. GREEN: THERE WAS A CHAPERONE PRESENT AT EACH VISIT, YOUR HONOR, AND EVERY OTHER VISIT. AND THEY HAVE ONLY INTERVIEWED ONE NURSE PRACTITIONER FROM THE OFFICE WHO STATES IN THE DISCOVERY THAT SHE NEVER SAW ANY INAPPROPRIATE TOUCHING OR ANYTHING OF A SEXUAL NATURE. AND IT APPEARS THEY DID NOT INTERVIEW ANY OF THE OTHER MEDICAL ASSISTANTS.

MS. MEYERS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THAT THEY

DO HAVE THAT PRACTICE. AND I BELIEVE THAT U.C.L.A., IN

THIS CONSUMER AFFAIRS REPORT -- IT DOES INDICATE THAT

1 U.C.L.A. DID A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION AND FOUND THAT THE 2 PRACTICES EXERCISED BY THE DOCTOR, THE DEFENDANT, WERE 3 NOT WITHIN COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR MEDICAL STANDARDS. AND 4 THAT IS WHY HE WAS TERMINATED FROM U.C.L.A. 5 AND WHETHER OR NOT YOU SAY HIS CONTRACT WASN'T RENEWED, TERMINATION IS TERMINATION. AND THAT IS 6 7 WHAT THEY DID. SO THEY FOUND THAT, IN FACT, WHAT HE DID 8 WAS INAPPROPRIATE. 9 MS. GREEN: I DON'T THINK THERE WERE ANY FINDINGS 10 OF --11 THE COURT: WE DON'T HAVE TO ARGUE ABOUT THIS. 12 MS. GREEN: I UNDERSTAND. BUT HIS CONTRACT WASN'T 13 RENEWED. 14 THE COURT: I HEARD YOU THE FIRST TIME. 15 MS. GREEN: OKAY. 16 THE COURT: DOES HE HAVE A RECORD? 17 MS. GREEN: NO RECORD, YOUR HONOR. 18 MS. MEYERS: I DON'T BELIEVE HE DOES. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 20 MS. GREEN: HE'S BEEN A VERY WELL-RESPECTED 21 PHYSICIAN FOR 30 YEARS, AND HE IS KNOWN FOR BEING A GREAT 22 DOCTOR. AND THIS IS JUST A COMPLETE SHOCK TO HIM BECAUSE 23 HE WASN'T EVEN INTERVIEWED BY U.C.L.A. ABOUT THESE TWO 24 CASES. 25 MS. MEYERS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, AND THE PEOPLE 26 WOULD JUST ASK FOR THE BAIL. THIS IS NO DIFFERENT THAN 27 ANY OTHER DEFENDANT COMING IN HERE WITHOUT A RECORD OR

28

MINIMAL RECORD.

MS. GREEN: WE WOULD ASK THAT HE BE RELEASED ON HIS OWN RECOGNIZANCE. HE HAS BEEN A LIFELONG RESIDENT OF LOS ANGELES. HE HAS BEEN HERE. HE LIVES IN WOODLAND HILLS. HE IS SELF-SURRENDERING TODAY.

THE CIVIL LAWYER WAS CONTACTED BY THE INVESTIGATOR, WHO TOLD HIM AND GAVE HIM A COPY OF THE WARRANT. AND THAT IS THE FIRST TIME HE FOUND OUT THERE WAS A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.

MS. MEYERS: WELL, THEY DID DO -- YOUR HONOR, JUST FOR THE RECORD, THEY DID DO AN ARREST WARRANT. AND THEY DID TRY TO GET TO THE DEFENDANT, BUT HE WENT ON A CRUISE. SO THEY COULDN'T GET HIM. SO THE INVESTIGATOR WAS KIND ENOUGH TO TALK TO THE LAWYER AND TELL THE LAWYER THAT HE COULD BRING THE DEFENDANT IN.

MS. GREEN: THE INVESTIGATOR TOLD THE LAWYER AND TOLD DR. HEAPS THAT IT WAS SIMPLY TO BE AN INTERVIEW AND NEVER MENTIONED THAT THERE WAS A WARRANT.

THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT RUSES ARE FOR.

MS. GREEN: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET ME JUST SERVE THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND THEN WE WILL GET TO THE BAIL ISSUE.

ALL RIGHT. DR. HEAPS, TWO OF THEM.

YOU MUST NOT HARASS; STRIKE; THREATEN;
ASSAULT, SEXUALLY OR OTHERWISE; FOLLOW; STALK; MOLEST;
DESTROY OR DAMAGE REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY; DISTURB THE
PEACE OF; KEEP UNDER SURVEILLANCE; OR BLOCK THE MOVEMENTS
OF NATALIE B., FEMALE 33 YEARS OLD, OR NICOLE G., FEMALE

1 31 YEARS OLD. 2 YOU MUST HAVE NO PERSONAL, ELECTRONIC, 3 TELEPHONIC, OR WRITTEN CONTACT WITH; STAY 100 YARDS AWAY FROM THESE INDIVIDUALS AT ALL TIMES. 4 5 THIS PROTECTIVE ORDER IS GOOD FOR THREE 6 YEARS FROM TODAY'S DATE. 7 IF YOU HAVE ANY HANDGUNS, RIFLES, SHOTGUNS, 8 KNIVES, MACHETES, THINGS OF THAT NATURE, THEY NEED TO BE 9 TURNED OVER TO LAW ENFORCEMENT WITHIN 24 HOURS OF YOUR 10 RECEIPT OF THIS ORDER, WHICH YOU WILL GET BEFORE YOU 11 LEAVE THE COURTROOM TODAY. 12 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE TERMS OF THE 13 PROTECTIVE ORDER, DOCTOR? 14 THE DEFENDANT: YES. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE ON THE BAIL 16 ISSUE, MS. MEYERS? 17 MS. MEYERS: NO, YOUR HONOR. SUBMITTED. 18 THE COURT: COUNSEL, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE? 19 MS. GREEN: NO, YOUR HONOR. SUBMIT. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IN CASE THERE IS ANY 21 APPELLATE ISSUES, I AM GOING TO MAKE A GOOD RECORD HERE 22 FOR A SECOND. LET ME SIGN THESE ORDERS. 23 ALL RIGHT. WELL, ONE OF THE THINGS I AGREE 24 WITH WHAT THE D.A. SAID -- EVERYBODY HAS GOT TO BE 25 TREATED THE SAME. THAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN MY POSITION. SO 26 I DON'T CARE WHO YOU ARE OR WHAT YOU ARE OR WHAT THE

CHARGE IS. IF YOU NEED TO BE PUT IN CUSTODY, YOU ARE

GOING TO BE PUT IN CUSTODY.

27

28

HOWEVER, EVERY CASE HAS ITS OWN UNIQUE FACTS TO IT. AND WHETHER PEOPLE LIKE IT OR NOT, WHEN YOU HAVE A MEDICAL EXAM, THAT IS ONE OF THESE DIFFERENT TYPES OF AREAS AND DIFFERENT TYPES OF CASES.

THIS IS TO BE DISTINGUISHED FROM A SEXUAL BATTERY WHERE SOMEONE IS, YOU KNOW, WALKING DOWN THE STREET AND SOMEBODY RUNS UP AND GRABS A FEMALE BREAST OR HER PRIVATE PARTS OR PUTS HIS HAND IN HER PANTS OR AT A MOVIE THEATER. THAT IS TOTALLY, TOTALLY NONCONSENSUAL. THERE IS NO REASONABLE POSSIBILITY THAT THAT KIND OF AN EXAMPLE CAN BE CONSENSUAL.

NOW, I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED HERE IS CONSENSUAL BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THE FACTS AT ALL OTHER THAN WHAT I HAVE BEEN TOLD. BUT I DO KNOW THIS, THAT, SINCE I HAVE DONE, ARRAIGNED AND HANDLED, SO MANY DOCTOR-RELATED CASES ON THIS ISSUE AND OTHER ISSUES RELATING TO MEDICAL CARE AND DOCTORS, THAT, YOU KNOW -- AND I AM MARRIED TO A DOCTOR. SO I HAVE LEARNED A LOT THAT I MIGHT NOT ORDINARILY LEARN.

BUT ONE OF THE THINGS I ASK IS -- STANDARD PRACTICE FOR A LONG TIME NOW THAT OB-GYN DOCTORS DO NOT MAKE ANY TYPE OF EXAM ON SOMEONE UNLESS THERE IS A FEMALE IN THE ROOM. THAT IS STANDARD PRACTICE FOR THIS REASON, WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE, AND FOR LAWSUITS THAT HAVE HAPPENED IN THE PAST. AND THAT IS WHY I ASK THAT OUESTION.

NOW, SOME DOCTORS, IN A PRIVATE SETTING WHERE THEY ARE SOLE PRACTITIONERS -- THEY MAY NOT DO

THAT, AND THAT OPENS THEM UP TO THIS ISSUE. BUT, AGAIN, I KNOW U.C.L.A., AND I KNOW THEIR RULES AND REGULATIONS.

AND THIS TYPE OF AN EXAM CANNOT BE DONE -NOW, YOU CAN OBVIOUSLY INTERVIEW A PATIENT ABOUT WHAT IS
THE COMPLAINT AND HOW ARE YOU DOING. BUT WHEN THE EXAM
STARTS, THEY HAVE TO EITHER PUSH A BUTTON, AND IT SIGNALS
TO THE NURSE THAT THEY HAVE TO COME IN, OR THEY HAVE TO
GO OUT AND GET THE NURSE AND BRING THEM IN. SO I KNOW
THAT TO BE THE CASE.

NOW, I AM NOT SAYING BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW
THE FACTS HERE. BUT I AM NOT A DOCTOR, AND I AM NOT HERE
TO SUGGEST THAT DR. HEAPS DID ANYTHING WRONG. NOW, A
COMPLAINT HAS BEEN FILED AGAINST HIM. THAT IS WHY WE
HAVE A COURT OF LAW. HE IS ENTITLED TO ALL THE
PROCEDURAL PROTECTIONS HE HAS THROUGH COUNSEL.

HE WILL HAVE A PRELIMINARY HEARING HERE AND SEE IF THE D.A.'S OFFICE CAN GET OVER THE BURDEN OF PROOF. BUT IN TERMS OF A MEDICAL EXAM, THAT IS A JUDGMENT CALL. WHEN A DOCTOR IS EXAMINING SOMEONE, IF HE OR SHE BELIEVES THAT THEY NEED TO PROBE OR TOUCH -- AND, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW THE FACTS OF THIS CASE, AND I AM TALKING IN GENERALITIES.

IF SHE WENT IN THERE, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE -WELL, SOMETHING UNRELATED TO TOUCHING THAT PART OF THE
BODY, THAT WOULD BE ODD OR UNUSUAL, OF COURSE. BUT,
AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT REASON.

BUT WHAT I AM SAYING IS HERE WE ARE DEALING WITH A GYNECOLOGIST. HE IS DOING A GYNECOLOGICAL EXAM.

AGAIN, I AM NOT A DOCTOR. BUT I WOULD ASSUME, BASED ON
WHEN I TALK TO MY WIFE WHEN SHE GOES TO THE DOCTOR -- AND
SHE HAS HAD THE SAME GYNECOLOGIST FOR 35 YEARS.

AND WHEN JIM DOES IT, THERE IS ALWAYS A NURSE IN THERE. AND HE WILL TOUCH MY WIFE'S BREASTS TO MAKE SURE BECAUSE SHE HAS A HISTORY OF BREAST CANCER IN HER FAMILY. SO HE MAKES SURE EVERYTHING IS GOOD. AND HE WILL DO WHATEVER EXAM HE'S DONE. THE NURSE IS ALWAYS THERE.

NOW, I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT THINGS CAN'T

BE OVERBOARD AND BEYOND WHAT A DOCTOR SHOULD DO. BUT I

AM SAYING IN THIS INSTANCE, GIVEN THAT ANOTHER

PROFESSIONAL WAS THERE AND IT WASN'T --

MS. MEYERS: THAT IS NOT TRUE.

THE COURT: WELL, ACCORDING TO COUNSEL, IT WAS.

MS. MEYERS: THAT IS NOT TRUE.

THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO ARGUE. WE ARE SUBMITTED. I AM MAKING MY RULING.

BASED ON THE LATE FILING OF THIS CASE, TWO YEARS, WHETHER OR NOT IT IS TRUE OR NOT, THAT IS NOT FOR ME TO DECIDE. THAT IS FOR JUDGE VERASTEGUI OR SOME OTHER JUDGE AND JURY TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION, IF IT GOES THAT FAR.

BUT GIVEN WHAT IT IS HERE, I AM NOT GOING
TO PUT HIM IN CUSTODY. HE HAS NO PRIOR RECORD. HE
APPEARED VOLUNTARILY. IT IS TWO YEARS AFTER THE FACT.
THERE ARE NO OTHER COMPLAINTS I AM AWARE OF OF ANY KIND.
THERE IS A CIVIL CASE THAT HAS BEEN SETTLED. THAT CAN GO

1 FROM THERE. SO O.R. IS GOING TO BE GRANTED IN THIS CASE. 2 ALL RIGHT. WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO WITH THIS? DO YOU WANT TO SET IT DIRECTLY FOR PRELIM, OR WHAT 3 4 DO YOU WANT TO DO? 5 MS. GREEN: NO. WE HAVE GOT THE DISCOVERY, AND THERE ARE SOME EXPERT ISSUES, YOUR HONOR. SO PRELIM 6 7 SETTING JUNE 26. 8 THE COURT: IS THAT OKAY WITH THE PEOPLE? 9 MS. MEYERS: THAT IS, YOUR HONOR. 10 I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY ONE THING. ONE OF THE COUNTS IS 2017, BUT THE OTHER IS LAST YEAR, 2018, FOR 11 12 THE RECORD. 13 THE COURT: YES, I SEE IT. 14 MS. MEYERS: OKAY. AND THAT IS FINE. THE 26TH IS 15 FINE. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THAT GOING TO BE ENOUGH 17 TIME TO GET WHATEVER YOU NEED FROM THE D.A.? 18 MS. MEYERS: I'VE GOT EVERYTHING I NEED RIGHT NOW. 19 MS. GREEN: WE NEED TO GET THE PATIENT RECORDS, 20 YOUR HONOR. I'VE GOT SOME OF THE CD-ROM'S FOR DISCOVERY. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NEED THE PATIENT RECORDS ON THESE. 21 22 SO WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GET THESE FROM U.C.L.A. 23 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THE PATIENT RECORDS? 24 MS. MEYERS: I AM SORRY? 25 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THE PATIENT RECORDS? 26 MS. MEYERS: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NOT S.D.T.'D NOR 27 DO I INTEND TO S.D.T. BECAUSE I DON'T FEEL THAT I NEED 28 THE PATIENT RECORDS.

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL SET IT ON THAT
2	DATE. IT IS PROBABLY GOING TO BE CONTINUED AGAIN.
3	DOCTOR, DO YOU WAIVE AND GIVE UP YOUR
4	RIGHTS UNDER THE 10- AND 60-DAY RULE AND AGREE TO
5	CONTINUE THIS FOR PRE-PRELIM TO THE DATE OF 6/26/19,
6	DEPARTMENT WEST 31, AS 0 OF 10 COURT DAYS? IS THAT
7	ACCEPTABLE TO YOU, PLEASE?
8	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
9	THE COURT: COUNSEL JOIN?
10	MS. GREEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: TIME WAIVER IS NOTED UNDER THE 10- AND
12	60-DAY RULE TO THAT DATE AS 0 OF 10.
13	ORDER A PROBATION REPORT FOR DEPARTMENT
14	WEST 31.
15	YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR ON THAT DATE.
16	ANYTHING ELSE, PLEASE?
17	MS. MEYERS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
18	MS. GREEN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE A SEAT. WE ARE GOING
20	TO SERVE YOU WITH THIS REAL QUICK.
21	
22	(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)
23	-000-
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	CUDENTON COURS OF MUR CHARRE OF CALLBORY
2	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	HON. KEITH L. SCHWARTZ, JUDGE DEPARTMENT W30
4	
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
6	PLAINTIFF, NO. SA100560
7	VS. , REPORTER'S , CERTIFICATE
8	01 JAMES MASON HEAPS,
9	DEFENDANT.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	I, MARCY KNOBEL, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY
16	OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
17	PAGES COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT TO
.18	THE BEST OF MY ABILITY OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
19	DEPARTMENT W30 IN THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE.
20	DATED THIS 16TH DAY OF JUNE, 2019.
21	
22	
23	
24	71/2 th 1/2) 222 1121221
25	MARCY KNOBEL OFFICIAL DEPONTER
26	OFFICIAL REPORTER
27	